This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:					
☐ BLACK BORDERS					
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES					
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING					
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING					
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES					
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS					
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS					
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT					
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY					
Потнер.					

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/853,103	05/10/2001	Frank Vosseler	20005779US	5806
7:	590 08/17/2004	EXAMINER		
	PACKARD COMPA	LUU, LE	LUU, LE HIEN	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/853	,103	VOSSELER ET AL.	4			
		Examin	ier	Art Unit				
		Le H Lu		2141				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun Reply	ication appears on t	the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ess			
THE MA - Extension after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure to Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 priod for reply is specified above, the maximum st or reply within the set or extended period for reply y received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. 0) days, a reply within the s atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of thi d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commandance (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>5/10/01 - 1/4/</i>	02.					
·	•	2b)⊠ This action is						
3)□ S	, .							
Dispositio	n of Claims							
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	laim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the and of the above claim(s) is/and laim(s) is/and laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn from o						
Application	n Papers							
10)⊠ Tr A R	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 22 August 20 pplicant may not request that any objected to be oath or declaration is objected to	001 is/are: a) \square acception to the drawing(s) the correction is req	s) be held in abeya uired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[1 2 3	cknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority Certified copies of the priority Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation the attached detailed Office action	documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in a ments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National St	age			
Attachment(s			-					
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F	PTO-948\		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Informa	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or o(s)/Mail Date <u>7/30/01, 1/4/02</u> .			Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			

Art Unit: 2141

- 1. Claims 1-25 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-11, 13-18, 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Faigon et al. (Faigon) patent no. 6,006,016.
- 4. As to claim 1, Faigon teaches the invention as claimed, including method for monitoring objects within an information technological (IT) network having monitored nodes in which monitoring-relevant events occur, comprising:

generating event-related messages comprising a message key and a message relation key (col. 6 line 54 - col. 7 line 19; col. 11 lines 31-55);

comparing the message relation key with the message key of another message (col. 6 line 54 - col. 7 line 19);

processing the other message depending on the result of the comparison (col. 6 line 54 - col. 7 line 19; col. 8 lines 6-21).

Art Unit: 2141

5. As to claim 2, Faigon teaches the message key has attributes which characterize

certain characteristics of the related event which are relevant for monitoring purposes

(col. 19 line 65 - col. 20 line 37).

As to claims 4-5, Faigon teaches the step of comparing the message relation key and

the other message key comprises checking whether the keys match with each other.

and discarding the other message if its message key is found to match with the

message relation key (col. 13 line 58-65).

6. As to claims 6-7, Faigon teaches at least one monitoring agent is associated with

a monitored node, and wherein the step of generating event-related messages

comprising the message key and the message relation key is carried out by the agent,

and a monitoring server receives the messages and carries out the processing step

(col. 6 line 30 - col. 7 line 19).

7. As to claims 8-9, Faigon teaches the message key and the message relation key

are generated according to key patterns which can be defined on the basis of a set of

pattern definition rules, and wherein both the message key pattern and the message

relation key pattern are defined on the basis of the same set of pattern definition rules.

and the method is carried out by a computer program, and the message key pattern and

the message relation key pattern can be defined by a user via a user interface at a

Art Unit: 2141

4).

common place of the computer program (col. 12 lines 6-11; col. 14 line 31 - col. 15 line

Page 4

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Faigon et al. (Faigon) patent no. 6,006,016, in view of Spencer patent no. 6,253,243.
- 10. As to claim 3, Faigon teaches the invention substantially as claimed as discussed above; however, Faigon does not explicitly teach using a wildcard.

Spencer teaches using wildcard to match ranges and sets generic-trap and specific-trap (col. 9 lines 37 - col. 10 line 7; col. 12 lines 32 - 62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Faigon and Spencer to use wildcard in at least one attribute because it would reduce number of trap entry.

11. Claims 10-25 have similar limitations as claims 1-9; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Art Unit: 2141

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark

"EXPEDITED PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Art Unit: 2141

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

August 16, 2004